

Non-Ergodic Dynamics of the 2D Random-Phase Sine-Gordon Model: Applications to Vortex-Glass Arrays and Disordered-Substrate Surfaces

D. Cule and Y. Shapir

Institute for Theoretical Physics

University of California

Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4030

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of Rochester

Rochester, NY 14627

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The dynamics of the random-phase sine-Gordon model, which describes 2D vortex-glass arrays and crystalline surfaces on disordered substrates, is investigated using the self-consistent Hartree approximation. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem is violated below the critical temperature T_c for large time $t > t^*$ where t^* diverges in the thermodynamic limit. While above T_c the averaged autocorrelation function diverges as $T \ln(t)$, for $T < T_c$ it approaches a finite value $q^* \sim 1/(T_c - T)$ as $q(t) = q^* - c(t/t^*)^{-\nu}$ (for $t \rightarrow t^*$) where ν is a temperature-dependent exponent. On larger time scales $t > t^*$ the dynamics becomes non-ergodic. The static correlations behave as $\sim T \ln |\vec{x}|$ for $T > T_c$ and for $T < T_c$ when $x < \xi^*$ with $\xi^* \sim \exp \{A/(T_c - T)\}$. For scales

$x > \xi^*$, they behave as $\sim m^{-1}T \ln |\vec{x}|$ where $m \approx T/T_c$ near T_c , in general agreement with the variational replica-symmetry breaking approach and with recent simulations of the disordered-substrate surface. For strong-coupling the transition becomes first-order.

05.70.Jk, 64.60.Fr, 64.70.Pf, 74.60.Ge

Much attention has been given recently to the 2D sine-Gordon model [1–16] with random phases which describes two very interesting disordered systems: (i) An array of flux-lines as obtained when a magnetic field is applied parallel to a type *II* superconducting film [5], and (ii) A 3D crystalline surface with a disordered substrate [6]. It is also equivalent to a vortex-free *XY* model with a random field.

The intensive theoretical attention is due to diverging results obtained by the renormalization group (RG) [1–4], the Gaussian variational (GV) approximation [7,8], $n \rightarrow 0$ Bethe ansatz [9,10], and by two extensive numerical studies [12,13]. The Hamiltonian of the random sine-Gordon model (RSGN) is:

$$H = \int d\vec{x} \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{2} [\nabla h(\vec{x}, t)]^2 - g \cos(\gamma [h(\vec{x}, t) - d(\vec{x})]) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The coefficient κ is the stiffness, g is the coupling constant, γ determines the periodicity (we choose $\gamma = 2\pi$), and $d(\vec{x})$ is a random variable such that $\gamma d(\vec{x})$ is a random phase uniformly distributed in $(0, 2\pi]$. Random phases for different $\vec{x}$ are uncorrelated (short-distance correlations will not affect the conclusions). The variable $h(\vec{x}, t)$ denotes the vortex-line displacement from a periodic lattice or the height of the surface.

The controversial issues concern the properties of the low-temperature phase. The quantity of interest is the correlation function:

$$C(\vec{x} - \vec{x}', t - t') = \langle [h(\vec{x}, t) - h(\vec{x}', t')]^2 \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes averaging over the thermal noise and the quenched disorder.

In the static limit, RG predicts [1–4] that $C(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$ changes behavior from $(T/\pi\kappa) \ln |\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|$ for $T > T_c$, to a behavior $\sim \epsilon^2 (\ln |\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|)^2$ for $T < T_c$ where $T_c = \kappa/\pi$ is the critical temperature and $\epsilon = |1 - T/T_c|$. The GV approach yields [7,8] a one-step replica symmetry breaking (RSB) which gives correlations which remain logarithmic but with a different coefficient $S(T) \ln |\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|$ for $T < T_c$. One study [8] finds $S(T) = T_c/\pi\kappa$ while the other [7] finds a coefficient which *increases* from this value for temperature below T_c .

A potential resolution of the contradiction between the RG and the GV results was

suggested recently by Le Doussal and Giamarchi [14]. They have shown that at the replica-symmetric RG fixed-line for $T < T_c$ RSB is a relevant perturbation. This suggests the possibility of a spontaneous replica-symmetry breaking.

Numerical simulations of the weak coupling RSGM show no sign of a transition whatsoever in the equilibrium properties [12]. Our simulations of the random-substrate model, which is better described by the strong-coupling RSGM, exhibit a continuous transition at the analytically predicted temperature [13]. The behavior of the correlations is consistent with the predictions of the GV theory with one-step RSB.

For the dynamical behavior the only analytic predictions are from heuristic arguments [15,16] and from RG calculations [6]. The RG predicts anomalous dynamics for $T < T_c$ which is manifested by a temperature-dependent dynamic exponent such that $z = 2(1 + 1.78\epsilon)$ and a non-linear response to a small driving force F . The average velocity is predicted to be proportional to $F^{z/2}$ for small F . The simulations [12] vindicate qualitatively this latter prediction although the coefficient of ϵ is much smaller than that predicted by dynamic RG.

In view of these results we study here a non-perturbative self-consistent approach to the dynamics of the system as a complement to the RG calculations. The general approach we take is based on that introduced by Sompolinsky and Zippelius (SZ) [17] for the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model. This approach has been extended by Crisanti, Horner, and Sommers for the spherical p -spin interacting spin-glass model [18] and generalized by Kinzelbach and Horner (KH) [19] for fluctuating manifolds in disordered media.

We begin by the RSGM Hamiltonian (1) with random-quenched phase with zero mean and correlations:

$$\left\langle e^{\imath\gamma d(\vec{x})} e^{-\imath\gamma d(\vec{x}')} \right\rangle = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'). \quad (3)$$

The stochastic equation of motion obeyed by $h(\vec{x}, t)$ within purely relaxational dynamics is:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \frac{\partial h(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t} = -\beta \frac{\delta H[h]}{\delta h(\vec{x}, t)} + \zeta(\vec{x}, t). \quad (4)$$

The Gaussian white noise $\zeta(\vec{x}, t)$ obeys $\langle \zeta(\vec{x}, t) \zeta(\vec{x}', t') \rangle = (2/\Gamma_0) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \delta(t - t')$. Γ_0 is a microscopic kinetic coefficient and $\beta = 1/T$. The next step is to obtain in a standard way

the Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) generating functional [21] which may directly be averaged over the noise ζ and the quenched disorder $d(\vec{x})$. The action so obtained reads:

$$S = \int d\vec{x} \int dt \left\{ -\Gamma_0^2 [\imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t)]^2 + \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t) [\partial_t - \Gamma_0 \kappa \beta \nabla^2] h(\vec{x}, t) \right\} \\ - \frac{1}{4} \beta^2 \Gamma_0^2 \gamma^2 g^2 \int d\vec{x} \int dt dt' \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t) \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t') \cos [\gamma (h(\vec{x}, t) - h(\vec{x}, t'))]. \quad (5)$$

In the self-consistent Hartree approximation all terms which contains more than two fields h or $\hat{h}$ are written in all possible ways as products of such pairs and all pairs but one are replaced by their expectation values. The action then is quadratic in h and $\hat{h}$ with coefficients which are only functions of response and correlation functions, defined as:

$$r(\vec{x} - \vec{x}', t - t') = \langle h(\vec{x}, t) \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}', t') \rangle, \quad t > t' \quad (6)$$

$$q(\vec{x} - \vec{x}', t - t') = \langle h(\vec{x}, t) h(\vec{x}, t) \rangle - \langle h(\vec{x}, t) h(\vec{x}', t') \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Their Fourier coefficients are denoted by $r(\vec{k}, t)$ and $q(\vec{k}, t)$. The effective action obtained in this way is:

$$S_{eff} = \int d\vec{x} \int dt \left\{ -\Gamma_0^2 [\imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t)]^2 + \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t) [\partial_t - \Gamma_0 \kappa \beta \nabla^2 + \mu(0)] h(\vec{x}, t) \right\} \\ - \int d\vec{x} \int dt dt' \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \omega(t - t') \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t) \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t') + \lambda(t - t') \imath \hat{h}(\vec{x}, t) h(\vec{x}, t') \right\}. \quad (8)$$

where we have defined ($\Gamma_0 = 1, \gamma = 2\pi$) :

$$\omega(t) = \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \Gamma_0^2 \gamma^2 g^2 \langle \cos [2\pi (h(\vec{x}, t) - h(\vec{x}, t'))] \rangle = \frac{2g^2 \pi^2}{T^2} e^{-4\pi^2 q(t)}, \quad (9)$$

$\lambda(t) = 4\pi^2 \omega(t) r(t)$ and $\mu(t) = \int_t^\infty dt' \lambda(t')$. The functions ω , λ and μ depend on t only through $q(t) = q(\vec{0}, t)$ and $r(t) = r(\vec{0}, t)$.

The next step is to obtain the equations of motion. By standard techniques explained in details in Ref. [19], in momentum space they read:

$$\left\{ \partial_t + \beta \kappa k^2 + \mu(o) \right\} r(\vec{k}, t) - \int_0^t dt' \lambda(t - t') r(\vec{k}, t') = 0 \quad (10)$$

with initial condition $r(\vec{k}, 0^+) = 1$, $r(\vec{k}, t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, and:

$$\left\{\partial_t + \beta\kappa k^2 + \mu(o)\right\} q(\vec{k}, t) - \int_0^t dt' \lambda(t-t') q(\vec{k}, t') = 1 - \left\{I(\vec{k}, t) - I(\vec{k}, 0)\right\} \quad (11)$$

with $q(\vec{k}, 0) = 0$ and $I(\vec{k}, t) = \int_0^\infty dt' \left\{\omega(t+t') r(\vec{k}, t') - \lambda(t+t') q(\vec{k}, t')\right\}$. If fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) holds, the $I(\vec{k}, t) - I(\vec{k}, 0) = 0$ and equations for r and q become equivalent as they should. The validity of the FDT implies that for long time:

$$\left[\beta\kappa k^2 + \mu(t)\right] q(\vec{k}, t) \leq 1. \quad (12)$$

This condition may be cast as:

$$q(t) \leq \frac{T}{4\pi\kappa} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa\pi^2}{\mu(t)T}\right). \quad (13)$$

Following KH [19] we define the function:

$$\Delta(q) = \frac{\kappa\pi^2}{T} \left[\frac{1}{e^{4\pi\kappa q/T} - 1} - \frac{2g^2}{\kappa T} e^{-4\pi^2 q} \right] \quad (14)$$

in terms of which the FDT dynamics holds as long as $\Delta(q) \geq 0$. However, this condition will be violated at low enough temperatures. For $g > g_{tr} = \kappa/\sqrt{2\pi}$ the transition is “first order” in the sense that as T is lowered $\Delta(q)$ vanishes at a finite q . We shall postpone the discussion of the first-order regime and the tricritical behavior which takes place at g_{tr} to a future, longer, publication. Here we concentrate on the regime $g < g_{tr}$ for which the transition is continuous. In this regime $\Delta(q)$ becomes negative at the g -independent critical temperature $T_c = \kappa/\pi$ (as found by other analytic approaches). In this regime, and close to T_c , $\Delta(q) \leq 0$ for $q \geq q_1 = (1/4\pi^2\epsilon) |\ln(2g^2/\kappa T)|$.

The solution proposed assumes the existence of a sharp separation of time scales between the ergodic behavior (i.e., relaxation within pure states) for $t \ll t^*$ and the non-ergodic behavior for $t \gg t^*$ which includes “tunneling” between different pure-states. In this latter regime $\lambda(t) < |\partial_t \omega|$ and the integral $\int_{t^*}^\infty dt' \lambda(t') = \mu^* > 0$. Therefore for $t \ll t^*$, $\tilde{\Delta}(q) = \Delta(q) + \mu^*$ and the instability is avoided. Within the so-called “quasi-FDT” (QFDT) approach [18–20] it is assumed that μ^* is the minimal one necessary to make $\tilde{\Delta}$ non-negative. This choice implies $\tilde{\Delta}'(q^*) = 0$, where q^* is derived from the ergodic stationary solution as $t \rightarrow t^*$: $q^*(\vec{k}) = 1/(\beta\kappa k^2 + \mu^*)$ and therefore $q^* = (T/4\pi\kappa) \ln(1 + \kappa\pi^2/\mu^*T)$.

At long-time $t \gg t^*$ the time variable rescales to $\tau = t/t^*$. We look for the solutions for the response and correlation functions which have the scaling forms $q(\vec{k}, t) = \tilde{q}(\vec{k}, t/t^*)$ and $r(\vec{k}, t) = (1/t^*)\tilde{r}(\vec{k}, t/t^*)$ with similar expressions for $\omega(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$. In the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ the equations for $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{r}$ have solution of the form:

$$m\partial_\tau \tilde{q}(\vec{k}, \tau) = \tilde{r}(\vec{k}, \tau), \quad t > t^* \quad (15)$$

which implies:

$$m\partial_\tau \tilde{\omega}(\tau) = -\tilde{\lambda}(\tau), \quad t > t^*. \quad (16)$$

Then the equations for $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{r}$ are equivalent and hence quasi-FDT holds. Equation (16) also determines m since its integration leads to:

$$m = \frac{\mu^*}{\omega^*}, \quad (17)$$

where $\omega^* = \omega(q^*)$. Using the equation for q^* , ($\Delta'(q^*) = 0$), we find

$$m(T) = \frac{\pi T}{\kappa} \left[1 - e^{-4\pi\kappa q^*/T} \right]. \quad (18)$$

We note that as $t \rightarrow t^*$ the solution for $t > t^*$ has to merge with the one below t^* . This matching condition yields the equation:

$$1 = 4\pi^2\omega^* \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(\beta\kappa k^2 + \mu^*)^2} \quad (19)$$

which is exactly the condition $\Delta'(q^*) = 0$, or in the replica language it is the condition on μ^* for the eigenvalue of the replicon mode to change from a negative value to zero (see Eq. (5) in Ref. [8]).

For $t \gg t^* \rightarrow \infty$, the stationary value of $q(\vec{k})$ is now given by:

$$q_\infty(\vec{k}) = \frac{T}{\kappa k^2} \left[1 + (1 - m)\omega^* q^*(\vec{k}) \right] \quad (20)$$

which leads to:

$$q_\infty(\vec{k}) = \frac{T}{m\kappa k^2} - \frac{1 - m}{m} \frac{T}{\kappa(k^2 + \mu^*T/\kappa)}. \quad (21)$$

In the regime $T < T_c$ the equal-time correlations are:

$$C(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{T}{\pi\kappa} \ln |\vec{x}| & \text{if } x \ll \xi^* \\ \frac{T_c}{\pi\kappa} \left(1 - e^{-4\pi\kappa q^*/T}\right)^{-1} \ln |\vec{x}| & \text{if } x \gg \xi^* \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where $\xi^* = \sqrt{\kappa/T\mu^*} \sim \exp(A/\epsilon)$. As T_c is approached from below the slope of the logarithm of the asymptotic behavior is given by:

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(1 + [2\pi g^2/\kappa^2]^{1/\epsilon}\right) \quad (23)$$

which is consistent with the behavior found by Korshunov [7]. Fig. 1 shows the slope $S(T)$ for different values of g . Near T_c the behavior is also consistent with Ref. [8] and with the numerical results we obtained simulating the random-substrate model [13].

The dynamics within each ergodic component for time $t < t^*$ for $T < T_c$ is studied by assuming a solution of the form

$$q(t) = q^* - \delta q(t). \quad (24)$$

Expanding in $\delta q(t)$ we find a solution of the form $\delta q(t) \sim t^{-\nu}$ where ν is a temperature dependent exponent determined from the solution of the equation [18,19] :

$$\frac{\Gamma^2(1-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-2\nu)} = m(T), \quad (25)$$

where Γ is the gamma function. The plot of $\nu(T)$ is given in Fig. 2.

So, while above T_c , $q(t)$ diverges as $(T/4\pi\kappa) \ln(t)$, below T_c it approaches a finite value as $t \rightarrow t^*$ (and $t^* \rightarrow \infty$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$), $q(t) = q^* - c t^{-\nu}$. As $T \rightarrow T_c^-$, $\nu \rightarrow 0$ and the approximation (24) should be handled carefully. The asymptotic behavior becomes logarithmically slow. In this limit the value of q^* diverges like $q^* \sim 1/(T_c - T)$.

If we keep the size L dependence explicitly and take the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ after $t \rightarrow \infty$, the behavior of $q(t)$ will be modified as T_c is approached from above. This will be studied in a future paper, where we shall also address the first order transition which occurs in the strong coupling regime. In this regime the dynamic critical temperature is g -dependent and larger

than the static one. Such a behavior was found in other systems with first-order transition [18,19] and it signals the existence of many metastable states [22].

To summarize, in the second-order regime the self-consistent dynamic approach yields the following results: In equilibrium it essentially reproduces the results of the GV approach with the one-step replica symmetry breaking. In the dynamics this is reflected by the breaking of the FDT below T_c on large time scales $t \geq t^*$. Phase space is broken into separate components and the divergence of their barriers leads to the divergence of t^* in the thermodynamic limit. Within each component the autocorrelations $q(t)$ approach a finite value q^* . At short time $q(t) \sim \ln(t)$ and $q(t) \sim q^* - c t^{-\nu}$ as $t \rightarrow t^*$. q^* diverges and $\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow T_c^-$. This behavior is obtained based on the self-consistent quasi-FDT which holds for $t > t^*$. The analysis applies if $t \rightarrow \infty$ before $L \rightarrow \infty$, where L is the system size. If the order of limits is reversed the system never reaches equilibrium below T_c . This will entail a non-stationary behavior with aging phenomena [23].

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY89-04035. Acknowledgment is also made to the donors of The Petroleum Research Fund, administrated by ACS, for partial support of this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.L. Cardy and S. Ostlund, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 6899 (1982).
- [2] Y.Y. Goldschmidt and A. Houghton, Nucl. Phys. B **210**, 175 (1982); Y.Y. Goldschmidt and B. Schaub, *ibid.* B **251**, 77 (1985).
- [3] J. Villain and J. F. Fernandez, Z. Phys. B **54**, 139 (1984).
- [4] J. Toner and D. P. DiVincenzo, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 632 (1990).
- [5] M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1415 (1989).
- [6] Y.-C. Tsai and Y. Shapir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1773 (1992) and Phys. Rev. E (in press).
- [7] S. E. Korshunov, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 3969 (1993).
- [8] T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1530 (1994).
- [9] A.M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3889 (1992).
- [10] L. Balents and M. Kardar, Nucl. Phys. B **393**, 480 (1993).
- [11] T. Hwa and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2466 (1994).
- [12] G. G. Batrouni and T. Hwa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 4133 (1994).
- [13] D. Cule and Y. Shapir (submitted for publication).
- [14] P. Le Doussal and T. Giamarchi (submitted for publication).
- [15] T. Nattermann, I. Lyuksyutov, and M. Schwartz, Europhys. Lett. **16**, 295 (1991); T. Nattermann and I. Lyuksyutov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3366 (1992).
- [16] J. Toner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2537 (1991); *ibid.* **68**, 3367 (1990).
- [17] H. Sompolinsky and A. Zippelius, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 6860 (1982).
- [18] A. Crisanti, H. Horner, and H.-J Sommers, Z. Phys. B **92**, 257 (1993).

- [19] H. Kinzelbach and H. Horner, J. Phys. I (France) **3**, 1329 (1993).
- [20] H. Horner, Z. Phys. B **86**, 291 (1992).
- [21] P.C. Martin, E. Siggia, and H. Rose, Phys. Rev. A **8**, 423 (1973).
- [22] E. Marinari, G. Parisi, and F. Ritort (unpublished).
- [23] L.F. Cugliando and J. Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 173 (1993) and talk given at “5th International Workshop on Disordered Systems”, Andalo Trento, 1994 (unpublished).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The slope of the $\ln|\vec{x}|$ term in Eq. (22) versus temperature for different coupling constants $g < g_{tr}$ and $\kappa = 1$.

FIG. 2. The temperature dependence of the critical exponent ν for different coupling constants g . As g decreases they approach the solution of the Eq. (24) with $m = \pi T/\kappa$.